arXiv:1704.01780v2 [math.RT] 22 Apr 2017

On the Frobenius direct image of the structure sheaf of a homogeneous projective variety *

Kaneda Masaharu
Osaka City University
Department of Mathematics
kaneda@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

April 25, 2017

Abstract

We present an example of a homogeneous projective variety the Frobenius direct image of the structure sheaf of which has nonvanishing self extension.

On some homogeneous projective spaces G/P in large positive characteristic we have found, for the projective spaces [K09], the quadrics [K14], Grassmannians $\mathrm{Gr}(2,n)$ [K17], and when G is the special linear group of degree 3 [HKR], the symplectic group of degree 4 [AK00], [KY07], or when G is in type G_2 and P a maximal parabolic subgroup [KY], a Karoubian complete strongly exceptional collection of coherent modules $\mathcal{E}(w)$ over G/P, parametrized by the minimal length representatives w of the cosets of the Weyl group of G by that of P, as subquotients of the Frobenius direct image of the structure sheaf of G/P, which are all defined over $\mathbb{Z}$. Except for the cases of $\mathrm{Gr}(2,n)$, $n \geq 4$, and when G is in type G_2 with P associated to a short simple root, we also know that the Frobenius direct image of the structure sheaf is a direct sum of copies of those $\mathcal{E}(w)$'s; the case for the quadrics is due to Langer [La]. In this paper we determine an extra summand in that exceptional case in type G_2, and find that the summand causes nontrivial self extension of the Frobenius direct image of the structure sheaf. There follows nonvanishing of the 1st cohomology of the sheaf of rings of small differential operators on G/P in this setting. The sheaf of rings of small differential operators is the first term of the p-filtration [Haa] of the sheaf $\mathcal{D}iff_{G/P}$ of rings of differential operators [EGA], and is a central reducion of the sheaf $\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_{G/P}$ of rings of arithmetic differential operators of level 0 [Ber] which is called the sheaf of rings of cristaline differential operators in [BMR]. One may recall that Kashiwara and Lauritzen [KaLa] found the nonvanishing of higher cohomolgy of $\mathcal{D}iff_{G/P}$ for $G/P = \mathrm{Gr}(2,5)$, while that the vanishing of the higher cohomology of $\mathcal{D}^{(0)}_{G/P}$ in general holds thanks to Bezrukavnikov, Mirkovic, and Rumynin [BMR].

In more details, let G be a simple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field of characteristic at least 11, and P the standard parabolic subgroup of G associated to

*supported in part by JSPS Grants in Aid for Scientific Research

a short simple root α_1. Let W be the Weyl group of G with simple reflections s_1 and s_2, and let $W^P = \{w \in W | w\alpha_1 > 0) = \{e, s_2, s_1s_2, s_2s_1s_2, s_1s_2s_1s_2, s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2\}$. For each $w \in W^P$ let $L(w)$ be the simple G_1-module of highest weight $w\rho - \rho$, ρ a half sum of the positive roots and G_1 the Frobenius kernel of G. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the Lie algebra of P under the adjoint represention, and let $\mathcal{L}_{G/P}(\mathfrak{p})(-1)$ be the sheaf over G/P associated to $\mathfrak{p}$ with Serre-twist $\mathcal{O}_{G/P}(-1)$.

Theorem: *The Frobenius direct image $F_*\mathcal{O}_{G/P}$ of the structure sheaf of G/P decomposes into a direct sum*

$$\mathcal{E}(e)\otimes L(e)\oplus\mathcal{E}(s_2)\otimes\{L(s_2)\oplus L(s_1s_2s_1s_2)\}\oplus\mathcal{E}(s_1s_2)\otimes L(s_1s_2)\oplus\mathcal{L}_{G/P}(\mathfrak{p})(-1)\otimes L(e)\oplus$$
$$\mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2)\otimes L(s_2s_1s_2)\oplus\mathcal{E}(s_1s_2s_1s_2)\otimes L(s_1s_2s_1s_2)\oplus\mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2)\otimes\{L(s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2)\oplus L(s_1s_2)\}.$$

The $\mathcal{E}(w)$, $w \in W^P$, are all locally free sheaves of finite rank, defined over $\mathbb{Z}$, and form a Karoubian complete strongly exceptional collection such that $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(x), \mathcal{E}(y)) \neq 0$ iff $x \geq y$ in the Chevalley-Bruhat order. However, $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(F_*\mathcal{O}_{G/P}, F_*\mathcal{O}_{G/P}) \neq 0$.

1° Structure of the G_1P-Verma module

(1.1) Let $\Bbbk$ be an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic p, G a simple algebraic group over $\Bbbk$ in type G_2, B a Borel subgroup of G, T a maximal torus of B, R the root system of G relative to T, R^+ the positive system of R such that the roots of B are $-R^+$, and $R^s = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ the set of simple roots with α_1 short. Let Λ be the character group of T, Λ^+ the set of dominant weights with the fundamental weights ϖ_1 and ϖ_2; $\langle \varpi_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ $\forall i, j \in [1, 2]$ with simple coroots $\alpha_j^\vee$. We partially order Λ by R^+ such that $\lambda \geq \mu$ iff $\lambda - \mu \in \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \mathbb{N}\alpha$. Let W be the Weyl group of G with the simple reflections s_i associated to the simple root α_i, $i \in [1, 2]$. Let P denote the standard parabolic subgroup of G associated to the short simple root α_1 with the Weyl group W_P. Let $W^P = \{w \in W | w\alpha_1 > 0\}$ the set of minimal length representatives of W/W_P. Let G_1 be the Frobenius kernel of G and let $\hat{\nabla}_P = \mathrm{ind}_P^{G_1P}$ be the induction functor from the category of P-modules to the category of G_1P-modules. Let $\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)$ be the G_1P-Verma module of highest weight 0 induced from the trivial 1-dimensional P-module ε. For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ we let $L(\lambda)$ denote the simple G-module of highest weight λ. We write each $\mu \in \Lambda$ as a sum $\mu = \mu^0 + p\mu^1$ with $\langle \mu^0, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \in [0, p[$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. For $w \in W$ and $\mu \in \Lambda$ we let $w \bullet \mu = w(\mu + \rho) - \rho$ with $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \alpha = \varpi_1 + \varpi_2$. Put, in particular, $L(w) = L((w \bullet 0)^0)$, which remains simple as G_1-module. For a P-module M we let $M^{[1]}$ denote the Frobenius twist of M [J, II.3.16]. Unless otherwise specified, $\otimes$ will stand for the tensor product over $\Bbbk$.

We consider the geometric Frobenius morphism $F : G/P \to G/P$ using the $\mathbb{F}_p$-form of G/P. It factors through the natural morphism $q : G/P \to G/G_1P$ to induce an isomorphism $G_1P \to G/P$, so the Frobenius direct image $F_*\mathcal{O}_{G/P}$ of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{G/P}$ of G/P may be identified with the sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{G/G_1P}(\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon))$ over G/G_1P associated to the G_1P-module $\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)$. Thus the structure of G_1P-module on $\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)$ controls G-equivariantly the structure of $F_*\mathcal{O}_{G/P}$. Throughout the rest of the paper we will assume $p \geq 11$ so that Lusztig's conjecture for the irreducible characters for G and G_1T hold [J,

D], which enabled us to compute the G_1T-socle series of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)$ in [KY] using [AK89], cf. [AbK, 5.2] for a direct expression in general.

(1.2) Recall the G_1T-socle series of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)$: $0 = \mathrm{soc}^0\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) < \mathrm{soc}\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) = \mathrm{soc}^1\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) < \mathrm{soc}^2\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) < \cdots < \mathrm{soc}^6\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) = \hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)$. Put $\mathrm{soc}_i\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) = (\mathrm{soc}^i\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon))/(\mathrm{soc}^{i-1}\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon))$, $i \in [1,6]$. One has a direct sum decomposition $\mathrm{soc}_i\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) = \coprod_{w\in W} L(w)\otimes G_1\mathbf{Mod}(L(w), \mathrm{soc}_i\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon))$. As G_1 is normal in G, the decomposition holds as G_1P-modules. Untwisting the Frobenius or by the Frobenius contraction, put $\mathrm{soc}^1_{i,w} = G_1\mathbf{Mod}(L(w), \mathrm{soc}_i\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon))^{[-1]}$ [J, II.3.16]/[GK]. Let $\nabla = \mathrm{ind}_B^G$ (resp. $\nabla^P = \mathrm{ind}_B^P$) denote the induction functor from the category of B-modules to the category of G- (resp. P-) modules. One has from [KY, 4.7, 4.8]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{soc}_1\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) \simeq L(e)\otimes\varepsilon,\\
&\mathrm{soc}_2\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) \simeq L(s_2)\otimes(-\varpi_2)^{[1]},\\
&\mathrm{soc}_3\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) \simeq L(s_1s_2)\otimes\{(-\varpi_2)\otimes\ker(\nabla(\varpi_1)\twoheadrightarrow\nabla^P(\varpi_1))\}^{[1]}\\
&\qquad\qquad \oplus L(s_1s_2s_1s_2)\otimes(-\varpi_2)^{[1]}\oplus L(e)\otimes(\mathrm{soc}^1_{3,e})^{[1]},\\
&\mathrm{soc}_4\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) \simeq L(s_2s_1s_2)\otimes\nabla^P(\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)^{[1]},\\
&\mathrm{soc}_5\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) \simeq L(s_1s_2s_1s_2)\otimes\{(-2\varpi_2)\otimes(\nabla(\varpi_1)/\nabla^P(\varpi_1-\varpi_2))\}^{[1]}\\
&\qquad\qquad \oplus L(s_1s_2)\otimes(-2\varpi_2)^{[1]},\\
&\mathrm{soc}_5\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) \simeq L(s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2)\otimes(-2\varpi_2)^{[1]},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla(\varpi_1)\twoheadrightarrow\nabla^P(\varpi_1)$ is a unique epi of P-modules. We will determine the P-module $\mathrm{soc}^1_{3,e}$ left open in [KY], which will play the main role of the paper.

(1.3) Let us recall where we were in [KY, 4.7]. By the weight consideration $\mathrm{soc}^1_{3,e}$ admits a P-module filtration $0 < -2\varpi_2 = M^1 < M^2 < M^3 < M^4 = \mathrm{soc}^1_{3,e}$ such that $M^2/M^1 \simeq \nabla^P(3\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)$, $M^3/M^2 \simeq -\varpi_2$, and $M^4/M^3 \simeq \nabla^P(2\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)$. We will denote a module with a filtration with subquotients $M_r,\dots,M_1$ from the top by $\begin{array}{|c|}\hline M_r\\\hline\vdots\\\hline M_1\\\hline\end{array}$. Thus, $\mathrm{soc}^1_{3,e} = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla^P(2\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)\\\hline -\varpi_2\\\hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)\\\hline -2\varpi_2\\\hline\end{array}$. Put $\mathrm{soc}^i = \mathrm{soc}^i\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)$, $i\in[1,6]$. Let also $\hat{\nabla} = \mathrm{ind}_B^{G_1B}$ denote the induction functor from the category of B-modules to the category of G_1B-modules. We let $?^*$ denote the $\Bbbk$-linear dual.

Just suppose the extension M^2 of $\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)$ by $-2\varpi_2$ splits. As $-2\varpi_1$ is the lowest weight of $\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)$ there would be a G_1B-submodule M of soc^3 containing soc^2 such that $M/\mathrm{soc}^2 \simeq -3p\varpi_1$, and hence an exact sequence $0\to\mathrm{soc}^2\otimes 3p\varpi_1\to M\otimes 3p\varpi_1\to\varepsilon\to 0$. Applying the induction functor $\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G$ to the sequence would then induce an exact sequence of G-modules

$$\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G(M\otimes 3p\varpi_1)\to\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G(\varepsilon)\to\mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G(\mathrm{soc}^2\otimes 3p\varpi_1) \tag{1}$$

with

$$\mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G(\mathrm{soc}^2\otimes 3p\varpi_1)=\mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline L(s_2)\otimes(-\varpi_2)^{[1]}\\ \hline \varepsilon\\ \hline\end{array}\otimes 3p\varpi_1)$$

$$\simeq \mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline L(s_2)\otimes(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2)^{[1]}\\ \hline 3p\varpi_1\\ \hline\end{array}).$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G(3p\varpi_1)&\simeq \mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_B^G(3\varpi_1)^{[1]}\quad\text{by [J, II.3.19.3]}\\ &=0\quad\text{by Kempf's vanishing [J, II.4.5],}\end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G(L(s_2)\otimes(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2)^{[1]})&\simeq L(s_2)\otimes\mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G((3\varpi_1-\varpi_2)^{[1]})\\ &\qquad\text{by the tensor identity [J, I.4.8]}\\ &\simeq L(s_2)\otimes\mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{1B}^G(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2)^{[1]}=0\quad\text{by Bott's theorem [J, II.5.5].}\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G(\mathrm{soc}^2\otimes 3p\varpi_1)=0$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G(M\otimes 3p\varpi_1)&\leq \mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G(\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)\otimes 3p\varpi_1)\leq \mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G(\hat{\nabla}(\varepsilon)\otimes 3p\varpi_1)\\ &\simeq \mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G(\hat{\nabla}(3p\varpi_1))\quad\text{by the tensor identity again}\\ &\simeq \nabla(3p\varpi_1)\quad\text{by the transitivity of inductions [J, I.3.5].}\end{aligned}$$

As $\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^G(\varepsilon)\simeq\mathrm{ind}_B^G(\varepsilon)^{[1]}\simeq L(e)$, $L(e)$ would by (1) be a composition factor of $\nabla(3p\varpi_1)$, absurd [A86, p. 150]. Thus the extension is non-split. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}(2)\quad \mathrm{Ext}_P^1(\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-3\varpi_2),-2\varpi_2)&\simeq \mathrm{Ext}_P^1(\varepsilon,\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)^*\otimes(-2\varpi_2))\\ &\simeq \mathrm{Ext}_P^1(\varepsilon,\nabla^P(-s_1(3\varpi_1-3\varpi_2))\otimes(-2\varpi_2))\quad\text{as }\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)\text{ is }P\text{-simple}\\ &=\mathrm{Ext}_P^1(\varepsilon,\nabla^P(3\varpi_1)\otimes(-2\varpi_2))\\ &\simeq \mathrm{Ext}_P^1(\varepsilon,\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-2\varpi_2))\quad\text{by the tensor identity}\\ &\simeq \mathrm{Ext}_B^1(\varepsilon,3\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)\quad\text{by the Frobenius reciprocity [J, I.3.4] and by Kempf}\\ &=\mathrm{Ext}_B^1(L(e),s_2\bullet 0)\\ &\simeq \mathbf{Mod}_G(L(e),\mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_B^G(s_2\bullet 0))\quad\text{by the Frobenius reciprocity and by Bott}\\ &\simeq \mathbf{Mod}_G(L(e),L(e))\simeq\Bbbk.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that the non-split extension M^2 is unique up to isomorphism.

Just suppose the extension M^3 of $-\varpi_2$ by M^2 is split. There would then be a G_1P-submodule M' of soc^3 containing soc^2 such that $M'/\mathrm{soc}^2\simeq-\varpi_2$, and hence an exact sequence $0\to\mathrm{soc}^2\otimes p\varpi_2\to M'\otimes p\varpi_2\to\varepsilon\to 0$. Applying the induction functor $\mathrm{ind}_{G_1P}^G$ to the sequence would induce an exact sequence of G-modules

$$\mathrm{ind}_{G_1P}^G(M'\otimes p\varpi_2)\to\mathrm{ind}_{G_1P}^G(\varepsilon)\to\mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1P}^G(\mathrm{soc}^2\otimes p\varpi_2)$$

with

$$\mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1P}^G(\mathrm{soc}^2\otimes p\varpi_2)=\mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1P}^G(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline L(s_2)\otimes(-\varpi_2)^{[1]}\\ \hline \varepsilon\\ \hline\end{array}\otimes p\varpi_2)\simeq\mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1P}^G(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline L(s_2)\\ \hline p\varpi_2\\ \hline\end{array})$$

$$=0\quad\text{by the tensor identity and by Kempf.}$$

Also, $\mathrm{ind}_{G_1P}^{G}(M' \otimes p\varpi_2) \leq \mathrm{ind}_{G_1P}^{G}(\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) \otimes p\varpi_2) \simeq \mathrm{ind}_{G_1P}^{G}(\hat{\nabla}_P(p\varpi_2)) \simeq \nabla(p\varpi_2)$. Then $L(e)$ would be a composition factor of $\nabla(p\varpi_2)$, absurd again [A86]. Thus the extension M^3 is non-split. Moreover, there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{Ext}_P^1(-\varpi_2, -2\varpi_2) \to \mathrm{Ext}_P^1(-\varpi_2, M^2) \to \mathrm{Ext}_P^1(-\varpi_2, \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - 3\varpi_2)) \to \dots$$

with $\mathrm{Ext}_P^i(-\varpi_2, -2\varpi_2) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_P^i(\varepsilon, -\varpi_2) = 0 \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ by Bott. Then $\mathrm{Ext}_P^1(-\varpi_2, M^2) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_P^1(-\varpi_2, \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - 3\varpi_2)) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_P^1(\varepsilon, \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2)) \simeq \Bbbk$ as in (2), and hence the extension M^3 is unique up to isomorphism.

We verify finally that the extension $M^4 = \mathrm{soc}_{3,e}^1$ of $\nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2)$ by M^3 is also non-split and uniquely. Just suppose it split. As $-2\varpi_1$ is the lowest weight of $\nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2)$, there would be a G_1B-submodule M'' of $\mathrm{soc}^3\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)$ containing $\mathrm{soc}^2\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)$ to form an exact sequence $0 \to \mathrm{soc}^2\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) \to M'' \to -2p\varpi_1 \to 0$, which would induce an exact sequence of G-modules

$$(3) \quad 0 \to \mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{B}((2p\varpi_1) \otimes \mathrm{soc}^2\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)) \to \mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{G}((2p\varpi_1) \otimes M'') \to \mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{G}(\varepsilon) \to \mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{B}((2p\varpi_1) \otimes \mathrm{soc}^2\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)).$$

There are isomorphisms of G-modules $\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{G}(\varepsilon) \simeq \nabla(\varepsilon)^{[1]} = L(e)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{G}((2p\varpi_1) \otimes \mathrm{soc}^2\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)) &= \mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{G}((2p\varpi_1) \otimes \begin{array}{|c|}\hline L(s_2) \otimes (-\varpi_2)^{[1]} \\ \hline \varepsilon \\ \hline \end{array}) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{G}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline L(s_2) \otimes (2\varpi_1 - \varpi_2)^{[1]} \\ \hline (2\varpi_1)^{[1]} \\ \hline \end{array}) \\
&\simeq \nabla(2\varpi_1)^{[1]} \quad \text{by Bott} \\
&= L(2\varpi_1)^{[1]} \quad \text{by the linkage principle [J, II.6.17] under the assumption } p \geq 11 \\
&\simeq L(2p\varpi_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{G}((2p\varpi_1) \otimes \mathrm{soc}^2\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)) &= \mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{G}((2p\varpi_1) \otimes \begin{array}{|c|}\hline L(s_2) \otimes (-\varpi_2)^{[1]} \\ \hline \varepsilon \\ \hline \end{array}) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{G}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline L(s_2) \otimes (2\varpi_1 - \varpi_2)^{[1]} \\ \hline (2\varpi_1)^{[1]} \\ \hline \end{array}) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the exact sequence (4) reads

$$0 \to L(2p\varpi_1)) \to \mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{G}((2p\varpi_1) \otimes M'') \to L(e) \to 0.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{G}((2p\varpi_1) \otimes M'') &\leq \mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{G}((2p\varpi_1) \otimes \hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)) \simeq \mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{G}(\hat{\nabla}_P(2p\varpi_1)) \\
&\leq \mathrm{ind}_{G_1B}^{G}(\hat{\nabla}(2p\varpi_1)) \simeq \nabla(2p\varpi_1).
\end{aligned}$$

As $L(2p\varpi_1))$ is the G-socle of $\nabla(2p\varpi_1)$, we must have $\mathrm{Ext}_G^1(L(e), L(2p\varpi_1)) \neq 0$. But the distance between the alcoves containing 0 and $2p\varpi_1$ is 12 even, contradicting [A86,

2.10]/[J, C.3]. Thus, $\mathrm{soc}^1_{3,e}$ is a nonsplit P-extension of $\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)$ by M^3. We show next that the extension is unique up to isomorphism. One has for each $i\in\mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ext}^i_P(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-2\varpi_2),-\varpi_2)&\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^i_P(\varepsilon,(-\varpi_2)\otimes\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)^*)\\&\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^i_P(\varepsilon,(-\varpi_2)\otimes\nabla^P(2\varpi_1))\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^i_P(\varepsilon,\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-\varpi_2))\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^i_B(\varepsilon,2\varpi_1-\varpi_2)=0,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ext}^i_P(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-2\varpi_2),-2\varpi_2)&\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^i_P(\varepsilon,\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-2\varpi_2))\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^i_B(\varepsilon,2\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)\\&=\mathrm{Ext}^i_B(\varepsilon,s_2\bullet(-\varpi_1))=0.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ext}^1_P(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-2\varpi_2),M^3)&\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^1_P(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-2\varpi_2),\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-3\varpi_2))\\&\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^1_P(\varepsilon,\nabla^P(2\varpi_1)\otimes\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-3\varpi_2))\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^1_P(\varepsilon,\begin{array}{|c|}\hline\nabla^P(5\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)\\\hline\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)\\\hline\nabla^P(\varpi_1-\varpi_2)\\\hline\end{array})\\&\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^1_P(\varepsilon,\begin{array}{|c|}\hline\nabla^P(5\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)\\\hline\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)\\\hline\end{array})=\mathrm{Ext}^1_P(\varepsilon,\begin{array}{|c|}\hline\nabla^P(s_2\bullet(-\varpi_1+\varpi_2))\\\hline\nabla^P(s_2\bullet0)\\\hline\end{array})\\&\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^1_P(\varepsilon,\nabla^P(s_2\bullet0))\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^1_B(\varepsilon,s_2\bullet0)\\&\simeq\mathbf{Mod}_G(L(e),\mathrm{R}^1\mathrm{ind}^G_B(s_2\bullet0))\simeq\mathbf{Mod}_G(L(e),L(e))\simeq\Bbbk,\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Comparing $\varpi_2\otimes\mathrm{soc}^1_{3,e}$ with the adjoint representation of P on its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$, we obtain

Proposition: *There are isomorphisms of P-modules*

$$\mathrm{soc}^1_{3,e}=\begin{array}{|c|}\hline\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)\\\hline-\varpi_2\\\hline\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)\\\hline-2\varpi_2\\\hline\end{array}\simeq\begin{array}{|c|}\hline(-\varpi_2)\oplus\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)\\\hline\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)\\\hline-2\varpi_2\\\hline\end{array}\simeq(-\varpi_2)\otimes\mathfrak{p}.$$

(1.4) **Corollary:** All multiplicity spaces $\mathrm{soc}^1_{i,w}$, $i\in[1,6]$, $w\in W^P$, are indecomposable as P-modules.

2° Decomposition of $F_*\mathcal{O}_{G/P}$

(2.1) Put $\mathcal{P}=G/P$. For each P-module M let $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{P}(M)$ denote the G-equivariant sheaf over $\mathcal{P}$ associated to M. Sheafifying the socle series of $\hat\nabla_P(\varepsilon)$ one obtains a filtration of $F_*\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{P}$ with subquotients $\coprod_{w\in W^P}L(w)\otimes\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{soc}^1_{i,w})$. We will show that the filtration splits, i.e., the G_1T-socle series of $\hat\nabla_P(\varepsilon)$ geometrically splits in the terminology of [DG], to give a decomposition of $F_*\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{P}$ into the direct sum $F_*\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{P}=\coprod_{i=1}^6\coprod_{w\in W^P}L(w)\otimes\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{soc}^1_{i,w})$ and that $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{soc}^1_{3,e})$ causes an obstruction to the self extension of $F_*\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{P}$: $\mathrm{Ext}^1_\mathcal{P}(F_*\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{P},F_*\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{P})\neq0$. Put $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{soc}^1_{3,e})$.

Let ℓ denote the length function on W with respect to the simple reflections. For each $w \in W^P = \{e, s_2, s_1s_2, s_2s_1s_2, s_1s_2s_1s_2, s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2\}$ put $\mathcal{E}(w) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathrm{soc}^1_{\ell(w)+1,w})$ the G-equivariant sheaf over $\mathcal{P}$ associated to the P-module $\mathrm{soc}^1_{\ell(w)+1,w}$. We know from [KY, 3.3] that the $\mathcal{E}(w)$, $w \in W^P$, form a Karoubian complete strongly exceptional collection of coherent modules over $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\forall x, y \in W^P$, $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(x), \mathcal{E}(y)) \neq 0$ iff $x \geq y$ in the Chevalley-Bruhat order. Thus, in order to show that the socle series is geometrially split, it is enough to show that

(1) $\qquad \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(w), \mathcal{M}) = 0 \quad \forall w \in W^P \text{ with } \ell(w) \geq 4,$

(2) $\qquad \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}(w)) = 0 \quad \forall w \in W^P \text{ with } \ell(w) \leq 2.$

Moreover, the G_1T-socle series on $\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)$ coincides with its radical series [AbK], so that $\mathrm{soc}_6\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)$ coincides with the head $\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)/\mathrm{rad}(\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon))$ of $\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)$. We know from [K17, §5] that the inclusion $\mathrm{soc}\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) \hookrightarrow \hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)$ and the quotient $\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon) \twoheadrightarrow \hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon)/\mathrm{rad}(\hat{\nabla}_P(\varepsilon))$ are both geometrically split to yield direct summands $L(e) \otimes \mathcal{E}(e)$ and $L(s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2) \otimes \mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2)$ of $F_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}$, and hence we have only to deal with $w \in \{s_2s_1s_2, s_1s_2s_1s_2\}$ in (1) and $w = s_2$ in (2). We will actually show that all higher extension modules $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2), \mathcal{M})$ $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_1s_2s_1s_2), \mathcal{M})$, and $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}(s_2))$, $i > 0$, vanish.

(2.2) Let us start the computations. Put $\mathcal{B} = G/B$ and let $\mathcal{L}(M)$ denote the sheaf over $\mathcal{B}$ associated to a B-module M. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. One has isomorphisms of G-modules

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}(s_2)) &\simeq \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline (-\varpi_2) \oplus \nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2) \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - 3\varpi_2) \\ \hline -2\varpi_2 \\ \hline \end{array}), \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(-\varpi_2)) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2\varpi_2 \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - 3\varpi_2)^* \\ \hline \varpi_2 \oplus \nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2)^* \\ \hline \end{array} \otimes (-\varpi_2))) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varpi_2 \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - \varpi_2) \\ \hline \varepsilon \oplus \nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - \varpi_2) \\ \hline \end{array})) \\
&\simeq \delta_{i,0}\{L(\varpi_2) \oplus L(e)\} \quad \text{by the linkage principle.}
\end{aligned}$$

(2.3) Note that $\nabla(\varpi_2)$ coincides with the adjoint representation of G on its Lie algebra, and hence that $\nabla(\varpi_2)/\mathfrak{p} \simeq \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varpi_2 \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - \varpi_2) \\ \hline \end{array}$. We will frequently make use of identifications

(1) $\qquad \mathrm{soc}^1_{3,e} \simeq (-\varpi_2) \otimes \ker(\mathfrak{g} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}) \simeq (-\varpi_2) \otimes \ker(\nabla(\varpi_2) \twoheadrightarrow \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varpi_2 \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - \varpi_2) \\ \hline \end{array})$.

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ one has isomorhisms of G-modules

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2), \mathcal{M}) \\
&\quad \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2)), \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}((-\varpi_2) \otimes \ker(\nabla(\varpi_2) \twoheadrightarrow \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varpi_2 \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - \varpi_2) \\ \hline \end{array}))) \\
&\quad \simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(\varpi_1) \otimes \ker(\nabla(\varpi_2) \twoheadrightarrow \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varpi_2 \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - \varpi_2) \\ \hline \end{array}))),
\end{aligned}$$

giving rise to a long exact sequence

$$(2)\quad \cdots \to \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2),\mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(\varpi_1)\otimes\nabla(\varpi_2))) \to \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(\varpi_1)\otimes\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varpi_2 \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2)\\ \hline\end{array})) \to \cdots$$

with isomorphisms of G-modules

$$\mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(\varpi_1)\otimes\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varpi_2 \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2)\\ \hline\end{array})) \simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla^P(\rho) \\ \hline \nabla^P(\varpi_1)\otimes\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2)\\ \hline\end{array}))$$
$$\simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla^P(\rho) \\ \hline \nabla^P(4\varpi_1-\varpi_2)\\ \hline \nabla^P(2\varpi_1)\\ \hline\end{array}) \simeq \delta_{i,0}\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla(\rho) \\ \hline \nabla(2\varpi_1)\\ \hline\end{array}$$
$$\simeq \delta_{i,0}\{L(\rho)\oplus L(2\varpi_1)\} \quad \text{by the linkage principle}$$

and

$$\mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(\varpi_1)\otimes\nabla(\varpi_2))) \simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(\varpi_1)))\otimes\nabla(\varpi_2) \simeq \delta_{i,0}\nabla(\varpi_1)\otimes\nabla(\varpi_2).$$

Thus the sequence (2) reads as an exact sequence

$$(3)\quad 0 \to \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2),\mathcal{M}) \to \nabla(\varpi_1)\otimes\nabla(\varpi_2) \to L(\rho)\oplus L(2\varpi_1) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2),\mathcal{M}) \to 0 \to \cdots$$

and $\mathrm{Ext}^j_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2),\mathcal{M}) = 0\ \forall j \geq 2$. On the other hand,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2),\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(\rho)\otimes\begin{array}{|c|}\hline -\varpi_2\oplus\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-2\varpi_2) \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)\\ \hline -2\varpi_2\\ \hline\end{array}))$$
$$\simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla^P(\varpi_1)\oplus\nabla^P(\rho)\otimes\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-2\varpi_2) \\ \hline \nabla^P(\rho)\otimes\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)\\ \hline \nabla^P(\varpi_1-\varpi_2)\\ \hline\end{array}))$$
$$\simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla^P(\varpi_1) \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2)\\ \hline \nabla^P(\varpi_1)\\ \hline \nabla^P(4\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)\\ \hline \nabla^P(2\varpi_1-\varpi_2)\\ \hline \nabla^P(\varpi_1-\varpi_2)\\ \hline\end{array})) \simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla^P(\varpi_1)^{\oplus_2} \\ \hline \nabla^P(s_2\bullet\varpi_1)\\ \hline\end{array})),$$

which induces another exact sequence

$$(4)\quad 0 \to \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2),\mathcal{M}) \to L(\varpi_1)^{\oplus_2} \to L(\varpi_1) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2),\mathcal{M}) \to 0.$$

Now, for a T-module M let $\mathrm{ch}\,(M) = \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(\dim M_\lambda)e^\lambda$ denote the formal character of M with $M_\lambda = \{m \in M | tm = \lambda(t)m\, \forall t \in T\}$. If M is a B-module, put $\chi(M) =$

$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}(-1)^i \mathrm{ch}\,\mathrm{R}^i\mathrm{ind}_B^G(M)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ch}\,(\nabla(\varpi_1)\otimes\nabla(\varpi_2)) &= \mathrm{ch}\,(\nabla(\varpi_2\otimes\nabla(\varpi_1))) \quad \text{by the tensor identity}\\
&= \chi(\varpi_2\otimes\nabla(\varpi_1)) \quad \text{by Kempf}\\
&= \chi(\varpi_2+\varpi_1)+\chi(\varpi_2+2\varpi_1-\varpi_2)+\chi(\varpi_2+\varpi_1-\varpi_2)+\chi(\varpi_2-\varpi_1)\\
&\qquad +\chi(\varpi_2-2\varpi_1+\varpi_2)+\chi(\varpi_2-\varpi_1+\varpi_2)+\chi(\varpi_2)\\
&= \chi(\rho)+\chi(2\varpi_1)+\chi(\varpi_1)+\chi(s_1\bullet\varpi_2)+\chi(\varpi_2) \quad \text{by Bott}\\
&= \chi(\rho)+\chi(2\varpi_1)+\chi(\varpi_1) \quad \text{by Bott again,}
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\nabla(\varpi_1)\otimes\nabla(\varpi_2)\simeq\nabla(\rho)\oplus\nabla(2\varpi_1)\oplus\nabla(\varpi_1)=L(\rho)\oplus L(2\varpi_1)\oplus L(\varpi_1)$. Combining (3) and (4), we must have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2),\mathcal{M})\simeq\delta_{i,0}\nabla(\varpi_1).$$

(2.4) For each $i\in\mathbb{N}$ one has isomorhisms of G-modules

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_1s_2s_1s_2),\mathcal{M}) &\simeq \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}((-2\varpi_2)\otimes(\nabla(\varpi_1)/\nabla^P(\varpi_1-\varpi_2))),\mathcal{M})\\
&\simeq \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla^P(\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)\\ \hline \nabla^P(2\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)\\ \hline\end{array}),\mathcal{M})\simeq \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2)\\ \hline \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-3\varpi_2))\\ \hline\end{array}),\mathcal{M}),
\end{aligned}$$

giving rise to a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
(1)\quad \cdots\to\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2),\mathcal{M})\to\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_1s_2s_1s_2),\mathcal{M})\to\\
\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)),\mathcal{M})\to\dots
\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2),\mathcal{M})\simeq\delta_{i,0}\nabla(\varpi_1)$ by (2.3). Thus the sequence (1) reads as

$$(2)\quad 0\to\nabla(\varpi_1)\to\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_1s_2s_1s_2),\mathcal{M})\to\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)),\mathcal{M})\to 0$$

and gives isomorphisms for each $j\geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3)\qquad \mathrm{Ext}^j_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_1s_2s_1s_2),\mathcal{M}) &\simeq \mathrm{Ext}^j_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)),\mathcal{M})\\
&\simeq \mathrm{H}^j(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1)\otimes\ker(\nabla(\varpi_2)\twoheadrightarrow\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varpi_2\\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2)\\ \hline\end{array}))).
\end{aligned}$$

There is a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
(4)\quad \cdots\to\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1-3\varpi_2)),\mathcal{M})\to\mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1)\otimes\nabla(\varpi_2)))\to\\
\mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1)\otimes\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varpi_2\\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2)\\ \hline\end{array}))\to\dots
\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1)\otimes\nabla(\varpi_2)))\simeq\delta_{i,0}\nabla(2\varpi_1)\otimes\nabla(\varpi_2)$ and also

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1)\otimes\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varpi_2\\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2)\\ \hline\end{array})) &\simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla^P(2\varpi_1+\varpi_2)\\ \hline \nabla^P(2\varpi_1)\otimes\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2)\\ \hline\end{array}))\\
\simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla^P(2\varpi_1+\varpi_2)\\ \hline \nabla^P(5\varpi_1-\varpi_2)\\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1)\\ \hline \nabla^P(\rho)\\ \hline\end{array})) &\simeq \delta_{i,0}\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla(2\varpi_1+\varpi_2)\\ \hline \nabla(3\varpi_1)\\ \hline \nabla(\rho)\\ \hline\end{array}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the sequence (4) reads as an exact sequence

$$(5)\quad 0 \to \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - 3\varpi_2)), \mathcal{M}) \to \nabla(2\varpi_1) \otimes \nabla(\varpi_2) \to \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla(2\varpi_1+\varpi_2) \\ \hline \nabla(3\varpi_1) \\ \hline \nabla(\rho) \\ \hline \end{array} \to$$
$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - 3\varpi_2)), \mathcal{M}) \to 0,$$

and gives $\mathrm{Ext}^j_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - 3\varpi_2)), \mathcal{M}) = 0\ \forall j \geq 2$.

On the other hand,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - 3\varpi_2)), \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1+\varpi_2) \otimes \begin{array}{|c|}\hline -\varpi_2 \oplus \nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2) \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - 3\varpi_2) \\ \hline -2\varpi_2 \\ \hline \end{array}))$$
$$\simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla^P(2\varpi_1) \\ \hline \nabla^P(4\varpi_1 - \varpi_2) \\ \hline \nabla^P(2\varpi_1) \\ \hline \nabla^P(\varpi_2) \\ \hline \nabla^P(5\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2) \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - \varpi_2) \\ \hline \nabla^P(\varpi_1) \\ \hline \end{array})) \simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla^P(2\varpi_1)^{\oplus_2} \\ \hline \nabla^P(\varpi_2) \\ \hline \nabla^P(s_2 \bullet 2\varpi_1) \\ \hline \nabla^P(\varpi_1) \\ \hline \end{array}))$$

which induces an exact sequence

$$(6)\quad 0 \to L(\varpi_1) \to \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - 3\varpi_2)), \mathcal{M}) \to \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla(2\varpi_1)^{\oplus_2} \\ \hline \nabla(\varpi_2) \\ \hline \end{array} \to L(2\varpi_1) \to$$
$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - 3\varpi_2), \mathcal{M}) \to 0.$$

Then, together with (5), we must have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - 3\varpi_2), \mathcal{M}) \simeq \delta_{i,0}\{L(\varpi_1) \oplus L(2\varpi_1) \oplus L(\varpi_2)\}.$$

It now follows from (2) and (3) that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_1s_2s_1s_2), \mathcal{M}) \simeq \delta_{i,0}\{L(\varpi_1)^{\oplus_2} \oplus L(2\varpi_1) \oplus L(\varpi_2)\}.$$

(2.5) As $\nabla(\varpi_1)$ is simple, $\nabla(\varpi_1)$ is also a Weyl module of highest weight ϖ_1, and there is a closed imbedding $i : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{P}(\nabla(\varpi_1))$ such that $i^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\nabla(\varpi_1))}(1)) \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\varpi_2)$ [J, II.8.5]. For an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ let us abbreviate $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(n\varpi_2)$ as $\mathcal{F}(n)$. Then $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(-\varpi_2) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathfrak{p})(-1)$. We have obtained

Theorem: *Assume $p \geq 11$. One has a decomposition*

$$F_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}} \simeq \mathcal{E}(e) \otimes L(e) \oplus \mathcal{E}(s_2) \otimes \{L(s_2) \oplus L(s_1s_2s_1s_2)\} \oplus \mathcal{E}(s_1s_2) \otimes L(s_1s_2)$$
$$\oplus\, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathfrak{p})(-1) \otimes L(e) \oplus \mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2) \otimes L(s_2s_1s_2) \oplus \mathcal{E}(s_1s_2s_1s_2) \otimes L(s_1s_2s_1s_2)$$
$$\oplus\, \mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2) \otimes \{L(s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2) \oplus L(s_1s_2)\}.$$

3° **Extensions**

(3.1) Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. One has

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2)) \simeq$$
$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}((-\varpi_2) \otimes \ker(\nabla(\varpi_2) \twoheadrightarrow \boxed{\begin{array}{c}\varpi_2\\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - \varpi_2)\end{array}})), \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2))),$$

which gives a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\boxed{\begin{array}{c}\varepsilon\\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2)\end{array}}), \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2))) \to$$
$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}((-\varpi_2) \otimes \nabla(\varpi_2)), \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2))) \to \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2)) \to \dots$$

with

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}((-\varpi_2) \otimes \nabla(\varpi_2)), \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2))) \simeq \nabla(\varpi_2)^* \otimes \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(\varpi_1 - \varpi_2)))$$
$$\simeq \nabla(\varpi_2)^* \otimes \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L}(\varpi_1 - \varpi_2)) = 0.$$

There follow isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}(s_2s_1s_2)) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\boxed{\begin{array}{c}\varepsilon\\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2)\end{array}}), \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2)))$$
$$\simeq \mathrm{H}^{i+1}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\boxed{\begin{array}{c}\nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2)^*\\ \hline \varepsilon\end{array}} \otimes \nabla^P(\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2)))$$
$$\simeq \mathrm{H}^{i+1}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\boxed{\begin{array}{c}\nabla^P(4\varpi_1 - 3\varpi_2)\\ \hline \nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2)\\ \hline \nabla^P(\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2)\end{array}})) = \mathrm{H}^{i+1}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\boxed{\begin{array}{c}\nabla^P(s_2s_1 \bullet 0)\\ \hline \nabla^P(s_2 \bullet (-\varpi_1)\\ \hline \nabla^P(s_2s_1 \bullet (-\varpi_2))\end{array}}))$$
$$\simeq \mathrm{H}^{i+1}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L}(s_2s_1 \bullet 0)) \simeq \delta_{i+1,2}L(e) = \delta_{i,1}L(e).$$

(3.2) Together with (2.5) we find

Theorem: *Assume $p \geq 11$. One has $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(F_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}, F_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}) \neq 0$.*

(3.3) Let $\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{M}od_{\mathcal{O}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}})$ be the sheaf of rings of small differential operators on $\mathcal{P}$ with $\mathcal{O}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{P}}$ denoting the sheaf consisting of the p-th powers of the elements of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}$. This is the first term of the p-filtration [Haa] of the sheaf of rings of differential operators [EGA], and is a central reducion of the sheaf of rings of arithmetic differential operators of level 0 [Ber] which is called the sheaf of rings of cristaline differential operators in [BMR].

Corollary: *Assume $p \geq 11$. One has $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{P}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{P}}) \neq 0$.*

(3.4) With a little more efforts one can also show

Proposition: *Assume $p \geq 11$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ one has*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathfrak{p}), \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathfrak{p})) \simeq \begin{cases} L(\varpi_2) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ L(\varpi_1) \oplus L(\varpi_2) & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We first show

$$\text{(1)} \qquad \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(e), \mathcal{M}) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2), \mathcal{M}).$$

For

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(e), \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline (-\varpi_2) \oplus \nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2) \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - 3\varpi_2) \\ \hline -2\varpi_2 \\ \hline \end{array}))$$

$$= \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline (-\varpi_2) \oplus \nabla^P(s_2 \bullet (-\varpi_1)) \\ \hline \nabla^P(s_2 s_1 \bullet (\varpi_1 - \varpi_2)) \\ \hline s_2 s_1 s_2 s_1 \bullet (-\varpi_2) \\ \hline \end{array})) = 0.$$

Likewise,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2), \mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(-\varpi_2), \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varepsilon \oplus \nabla^P(2\varpi_1 - \varpi_2) \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2) \\ \hline -\varpi_2 \\ \hline \end{array}))$$

$$= \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varepsilon \\ \hline \nabla^P(s_2 \bullet 0) \\ \hline \end{array})),$$

which gives $\mathrm{Ext}^j_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2), \mathcal{M}) = 0 \ \forall j \geq 2$, and an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2), \mathcal{M}) \to L(e) \to L(e) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2), \mathcal{M}) \to 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2), \mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(-\varpi_2), \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}((-\varpi_2) \otimes \ker(\nabla(\varpi_2) \twoheadrightarrow \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varpi_2 \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - \varpi_2) \\ \hline \end{array})))$$

$$\simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\ker(\nabla(\varpi_2) \twoheadrightarrow \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varpi_2 \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - \varpi_2) \\ \hline \end{array}))),$$

which gives $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2), \mathcal{M}) \leq \nabla(\varpi_2) = L(\varpi_2)$. We must then have $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2), \mathcal{M}) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2), \mathcal{M})$ also.

Now, $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}((-\varpi_2) \otimes \ker(\nabla(\varpi_2) \twoheadrightarrow \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varpi_2 \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - \varpi_2) \\ \hline \end{array})), \mathcal{M})$ gives rise to a long exact sequence of G-modules

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varepsilon \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1 - 2\varpi_2) \\ \hline \end{array})), \mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}((-\varpi_2) \otimes \nabla(\varpi_2)), \mathcal{M}) \to$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \to \ldots$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}((-\varpi_2) \otimes \nabla(\varpi_2)), \mathcal{M}) &\simeq \nabla(\varpi_2)^* \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(-\varpi_2), \mathcal{M}) \\ &= \nabla(\varpi_2)^* \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(s_2), \mathcal{M}) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{by (1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varepsilon \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1-2\varpi_2) \\ \hline \end{array}),\mathcal{M})$. There arises then a long exact sequence of G-modules

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(e),\mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)),\mathcal{M}) \to \dots$$

with $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{E}(e),\mathcal{M})=0$ by (1), and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) &\simeq \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)),\mathcal{M}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{H}^{i+1}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-2\varpi_2))\otimes \ker(\nabla(\varpi_2)\twoheadrightarrow \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varpi_2 \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2) \\ \hline \end{array})).\end{aligned}$$

One obtains then another long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}(2)\quad \cdots \to \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{H}^{i+1}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-2\varpi_2))\otimes\nabla(\varpi_2))) \to \\ \mathrm{H}^{i+1}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)\otimes \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \varpi_2 \\ \hline \nabla^P(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2) \\ \hline \end{array})) \to \dots\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{H}^{i+1}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-2\varpi_2))\otimes\nabla(\varpi_2))) &\simeq \mathrm{H}^{i+1}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)))\otimes\nabla(\varpi_2) \\ \simeq \mathrm{H}^{i+1}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{L}(s_2\bullet 0)))\otimes\nabla(\varpi_2) &\simeq \delta_{i+1,1}L(e)\otimes\nabla(\varpi_2) \simeq \delta_{i,0}\nabla(\varpi_2).\end{aligned}$$

Thus the exact sequence (2) reads

$$\begin{aligned}(3)\quad 0\to \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) \to \nabla(\varpi_2) \to \\ \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)\otimes\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2))) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) \to 0\end{aligned}$$

and isomorphisms $\mathrm{Ext}^j_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathrm{H}^j(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)\otimes\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2)))\ \forall j\geq 2$. Now,

$$\mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-2\varpi_2)\otimes\nabla^P(3\varpi_1-\varpi_2))) \simeq \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla^P(6\varpi_1-3\varpi_2) \\ \hline \nabla^P(4\varpi_1-2\varpi_2) \\ \hline \nabla^P(2\varpi_1-\varpi_2) \\ \hline \varepsilon \\ \hline \end{array}))$$

$$= \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \nabla^P(s_2\bullet\varpi_2) \\ \hline \nabla^P(s_2\bullet\varpi_1) \\ \hline \varepsilon \\ \hline \end{array})) \simeq \begin{cases} L(e) & \text{if } i=0, \\ L(\varpi_2)\oplus L(\varpi_1) & \text{if } i=1, \\ 0 & \text{else.}\end{cases}$$

Then the sequence (3) reads

$$0\to \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) \to L(\varpi_2) \to L(\varpi_2)\oplus L(\varpi_1) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) \to 0.$$

As $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) \neq 0$, we must have $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) = L(\varpi_2)$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) = L(\varpi_2)\oplus L(\varpi_1)$.

4° **Imbedding**

In this section we append an explicit imbedding of G into $\mathrm{SO}_7(\Bbbk)$, which is essentially the same as Hée's [Hé, 13.6]. We will allow $\Bbbk$ to be any algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2. The author is grateful to Tanisaki and Testerman for references.

(4.1) We show first the imbedding of a $\mathbb{Z}$-form $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of the Lie algebra of G into a $\mathbb{Z}$-form $\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{SO}_7(\Bbbk)$. For that we recall imbeddings of $\mathbb{Q}$-Lie algebras, a classical result called the principle of triality, using folding [T]. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{SO}_8(\mathbb{Q})$ with Dynkin diagram



Let A be the associated Cartan matrix, and let $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{h}_i, \tilde{f}_i$, $i \in [1,4]$, be the standard Chevalley generators of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $[\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_j] = \delta_{i,j}\tilde{h}_i$, $[\tilde{h}_i, \tilde{e}_j] = A_{ij}\tilde{e}_i$, $[\tilde{h}_i, \tilde{f}_j] = -A_{ij}\tilde{f}_j$ $\forall i, j \in [1,4]$. Let σ ba an automorphism of the Dynkin diagram; $A_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)} = A_{ij}$ $\forall i, j \in [1,4]$. By the same letter σ we let it also denote the induced automorphism of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $\tilde{e}_i \mapsto \tilde{e}_{\sigma(i)}$, $\tilde{f}_i \mapsto \tilde{f}_{\sigma(i)}$, $\forall i \in [1,4]$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma = \{x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} | \sigma(x) = x\}$ be the fixed point subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ under σ. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a $\langle\sigma\rangle$-orbit in the index set $[1,4]$. We divide into the following 2 cases.

Case 1: Either $|\mathcal{O}| = 1$ or $A_{ji} = 0$ for any distinct $i, j \in \mathcal{O}$,

Case 2: $\mathcal{O} = \{i, j\}$ with $i \neq j$ such that $A_{ij} = -1 = A_{ji}$.

For each orbit $\mathcal{O}$ define elements of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma$ by

$$\tilde{h}_{\mathcal{O}} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i\in\mathcal{O}} \tilde{h}_i & \text{in Case 1,} \\ 2\sum_{i\in\mathcal{O}} \tilde{h}_i & \text{in Case 2,} \end{cases} \quad \tilde{e}_{\mathcal{O}} = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{O}} \tilde{e}_i, \quad \tilde{f}_{\mathcal{O}} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i\in\mathcal{O}} \tilde{f}_i & \text{in Case 1,} \\ 2\sum_{i\in\mathcal{O}} \tilde{f}_i & \text{in Case 2.} \end{cases}$$

Now, let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ and let e_1, e_2, f_1, f_2 be the Chevalley generators corresponding to the simple roots α_1 and α_2. Taking σ of order 3, one obtains from [T, Th. 4.5] an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-Lie algebras $\theta_1 : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma$ such that

$$e_1 \mapsto \tilde{e}_1 + \tilde{e}_3 + \tilde{e}_4, \quad e_2 \mapsto \tilde{e}_2, \quad f_1 \mapsto \tilde{f}_1 + \tilde{f}_3 + \tilde{f}_4, \quad f_2 \mapsto \tilde{f}_2.$$

Let next V be a 7-dimensional $\Bbbk$-linear space with basis $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_0, v_{-3}, v_{-2}, v_{-1}$ equipped with a quadratic form $Q(\sum_{k=-3}^{3} \xi_k v_k) = \xi_0^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{3} \xi_k \xi_{-k}$ for $\xi_i, \xi_{-i} \in \Bbbk$, $i \in [0,3]$. Thus the associated Gram matrix is

$$[\![\mathbb{B}(v_i, v_j) = q(v_i + v_j) - q(v_i) - q(v_j)]\!] = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c|ccc} & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & & 2 & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & \\ 1 & & & & & & \end{array}\right).$$

We regard $G' = \mathrm{SO}(V; \mathbb{B}) = \{g \in \mathrm{SL}(V) | \mathbb{B}(gv, gv') = \mathbb{B}(v, v')\ \forall v, v' \in V\}$ as our orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}_7(\Bbbk)$. Let $T' = \{\mathrm{diag}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, 1, \zeta_3^{-1}, \zeta_2^{-1}, \zeta_1^{-1}) | \zeta_1, \zeta - 2 \in \Bbbk^\times\}$ be a

maximal torus of G' with simple coroots $\alpha_1^\vee = \varepsilon_1^\vee - \varepsilon_2^\vee, \alpha_2^\vee = \varepsilon_2^\vee - \varepsilon_3^\vee$, and $\alpha_3^\vee = 2\varepsilon_3^\vee$, where $\varepsilon_1^\vee : \zeta \mapsto \mathrm{diag}(\zeta, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \zeta^{-1})$, $\varepsilon_2^\vee : \zeta \mapsto \mathrm{diag}(1, \zeta, 1, 1, 1, 1, \zeta^{-1}, 1)$, and $\varepsilon_3^\vee : \zeta \mapsto \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, \zeta, 1, \zeta^{-1}, 1, 1)$. If $\varepsilon_k : \mathrm{diag}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_0, \zeta_3^{-1}, \zeta_2^{-1}, \zeta_1^{-1}) \mapsto \zeta_k$, $k \in [1, 3]$, the corresponding simple roots are $\alpha_1' = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \alpha_2' = \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3$, and $\alpha_3' = \varepsilon_3$. If we let E denote the identity matrix and $E(i, j)$, $i, j \in [-3, 3]$, denote the square matrix of degree 7 with 1 at the (i, j)-th entry and 0 elsewhere, the root subgroups of G' are given by, for $i, j \in [1, 3]$ with $i < j$,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} &= \{E + \xi(E(i, j) - E(-j, -i)) \mid \xi \in \Bbbk\}, \\ U_{-\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j} &= \{E + \xi(E(j, i) - E(-i, -j)) \mid \xi \in \Bbbk\}, \\ U_{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j} &= \{E + \xi(E(i, -j) - E(j, -i)) \mid \xi \in \Bbbk\}, \\ U_{-\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} &= \{E + a(E(-j, i) - E(-i, j)) \mid a \in \Bbbk\}, \end{aligned}$$

and, for $k \in [1, 3]$,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\varepsilon_k} &= \{E + \xi(2E(k, 0) - E(0, -k)) - \xi^2 E(k, -k) \mid \xi \in \Bbbk\}, \\ U_{-\varepsilon_k} &= \{E + \xi(E(0, k) - 2E(-k, 0)) - \xi^2 E(-k, k) \mid \xi \in \Bbbk\}. \end{aligned}$$



Then $e_1' = E(1, 2) - E(-2, -1), e_2' = E(2, 3) - E(-3, -2), e_3' = 2E(3, 0) - E(0, -3)$, and $f_1' = E(2, 1) - E(-1, -2), f_2' = E(3, 2) - E(-2, -3), f_3' = E(0, 3) - 2E(-3, 0)$ form Chevalley generators of the $\mathbb{Q}$-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. If σ is of order 2, one obtains by [T, Th. 4.5] an isomorphism of Lie algebras $\theta_2 : \mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\sigma$ such that

$$e_1' \mapsto \tilde{e}_1, \quad e_2' \mapsto \tilde{e}_2, \quad e_3' \mapsto \tilde{e}_3 + \tilde{e}_4, \quad f_1' \mapsto \tilde{f}_1, \quad f_2' \mapsto \tilde{f}_2, \quad f_3' \mapsto \tilde{f}_3 + \tilde{f}_4.$$

It follows that θ_1 factors through θ_2 to yield an imbedding $\theta_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$-Lie algebras.

In $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ one can take along with e_i, f_i and $[e_i, f_i]$, $i \in [1, 2]$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \qquad & e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = [e_1, e_2],\ e_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = \frac{1}{2}[e_1, e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}],\ e_{3\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = \frac{1}{3}[e_1, e_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}], \\ & e_{3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2} = [e_2, e_{3\alpha_1+\alpha_2}], \\ & f_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = -[f_1, f_2],\ f_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = -\frac{1}{2}[f_1, f_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}],\ f_{3\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = -\frac{1}{3}[f_1, f_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}], \\ & f_{3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2} = -[f_2, f_{3\alpha_1+\alpha_2}] \end{aligned}$$

to form a Chevalley basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ [Ca, Th. 4.2.1]. Also, along with e_i', f_i' and $[e_i', f_i']$, $i \in [1, 3]$,

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & \{E(i, j) - E(-j, -i), E(j, i) - E(-i, -j), E(i, -j) - E(j, -i), E(-j, i) - E(-i, j) \\ & \qquad |1 \le i < j \le 3\} \sqcup \{2E(k, 0) - E(0, -k), E(0, k) - 2E(-k, 0) | k \in [1, 3]\} \end{aligned}$$

form a Chevalley basis of $\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{Z}}$ [Ca, 11.2.4]. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3)\qquad \theta(e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}) &= (E(1,3)-E(-3,-1))-(2E(2,0)-E(0,-2)),\\
\theta(e_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}) &= -(2E(1,0)-E(0,-1))-(E(2,-3)-E(3,-2)),\\
\theta(e_{3\alpha_1+\alpha_2}) &= -(E(1,-3)-E(3,-1)),\\
\theta(e_{3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}) &= -(E(1,-2)-E(2,-1)),\\
\theta(f_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}) &= -(E(0,2)-2E(-2,0))+(E(3,1)-E(-1,-3)),\\
\theta(f_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}) &= -(E(0,1)-2E(-1,0))-(E(-3,2)-E(-2,3)),\\
\theta(f_{3\alpha_1+\alpha_2}) &= -(E(-3,1)-E(-1,3)),\\
\theta(f_{3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}) &= -(E(-2,1)-E(-1,2)).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

Proposition: *There is an imbedding of Lie algebras $\theta_{\mathbb{Z}}:\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}\to\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that*

$$e_1\mapsto e'_1+e'_3,\quad e_2\mapsto e'_2,\quad f_1\mapsto f'_1+f'_3,\quad f_2\mapsto f'_2.$$

(4.2) Using the representation $\theta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ on $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 7}$, we exponentiate to obtain a realization of G in $\mathrm{GL}_7(\Bbbk)$ factoring through G' [St], which is essentially the same as [Hé, 13..6]. Let y_α, $\alpha\in R$, denote the root vectors of the Chevalley basis (4.1) of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and put $y'_\alpha=\theta(y_\alpha)$, $x_\alpha(\xi)=\exp(\xi y'_\alpha)$.

Proposition: *One has an imbedding of algebraic groups $G\to\mathrm{SO}_7(\Bbbk)$ with the root subgroups given by $U_\alpha=\{x_\alpha(\xi)|\xi\in\Bbbk\}$, $\alpha\in R$.*

(4.3) Explicitly, the root subgroups U_α, $\alpha\in R$, of G are realized in G' as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1)\qquad x_{\alpha_1}(\xi) &= E+\xi(E(1,2)+2E(3,0)-E(0,-3)-E(-2,-1))-\xi^2E(3,-3),\\
x_{\alpha_2}(\xi) &= E+\xi(E(2,3)-E(-3,-2)),\\
x_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(\xi) &= E+\xi(E(1,3)-2E(2,0)+E(0,-2)-E(-3,-1))-\xi^2E(2,-2),\\
x_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(\xi) &= E+\xi(-2E(1,0)-E(2,-3)+E(3,-2)+E(0,-1))-\xi^2E(1,-1),\\
x_{3\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(\xi) &= E+\xi(-E(1,-3)+E(3,-1)),\\
x_{3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}(\xi) &= E+\xi(-E(1,-2)+E(2,-1)),\\
x_{-\alpha_1}(\xi) &= E+\xi(E(2,1)+E(0,3)-2E(-3,0)-E(-1,-2))-\xi^2E(-3,3),\\
x_{-\alpha_2}(\xi) &= E+\xi(E(3,2)-E(-2,-3)),\\
x_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}(\xi) &= E+\xi(E(3,1)-E(0,2)+2E(-2,0)-E(-1,-3))-\xi^2E(-2,2),\\
x_{-2\alpha_1-\alpha_2}(\xi) &= E+\xi(-E(0,1)-E(-3,2)+E(-2,3)+2E(-1,0))-\xi^2E(-1,1),\\
x_{-3\alpha_1-\alpha_2}(\xi) &= E+\xi(-E(-3,1)+E(-1,3)),\\
x_{-3\alpha_1-2\alpha_2}(\xi) &= E+\xi(-E(-2,1)+E(-1,2)).
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, if we let $x_{\alpha'_i}(\xi)=\exp(\xi e'_i)$ and $x_{-\alpha'_i}(\xi)=\exp(\xi f'_i)$, $i\in[1,3]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2)\qquad x_{\alpha_1}(\xi) &= x_{\alpha'_1}(\xi)x_{\alpha'_3}(\xi), \qquad x_{\alpha_2}(\xi)=x_{\alpha'_2}(\xi),\\
x_{-\alpha_1}(\xi) &= x_{-\alpha'_1}(\xi)x_{-\alpha'_3}(\xi), \quad x_{-\alpha_2}(\xi)=x_{-\alpha'_2}(\xi).
\end{aligned}
$$

$\forall \alpha \in R^s$ $\forall \zeta \in \Bbbk^\times$, set after [St, p. 43]

$$n_\alpha(\zeta) = x_\alpha(\zeta)x_{-\alpha}(-\zeta^{-1})x_\alpha(\zeta), \quad \alpha^\vee(\zeta) = n_\alpha(\zeta)n_\alpha(-1). \tag{3}$$

As $[e'_1, e'_3] = 0$ in $\mathfrak{g}'$, one has in G'

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^\vee(\zeta) &= {\alpha'_1}^\vee(\zeta){\alpha'_3}^\vee(\zeta) = \mathrm{diag}(\zeta\ \zeta^{-1}\ \zeta^2\ 1\ \zeta^{-2}\ \zeta\ \zeta^{-1}), \\ \alpha_2^\vee(\zeta) &= {\alpha'_2}^\vee(\zeta) = \mathrm{diag}(1\ \zeta\ \zeta^{-1}\ 1\ \zeta\ \zeta^{-1}\ 1). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

It follows that the fundamental weights $\varpi'_1 = \varepsilon_1$, $\varpi'_2 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$, and $\varpi'_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)$ for T' read as T-characters

$$\varpi'_1|_T = \varpi_1 = \varpi'_3|_T, \quad \varpi'_2|_T = \varpi_2, \tag{5}$$

and that the T-weight $\mathrm{wt}(v_k)$ of v_k, $k \in [-3, 3]$, are

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{wt}(v_1) = \varpi_1 = -\mathrm{wt}(v_{-1}), && \mathrm{wt}(v_2) = -\varpi_1 + \varpi_2 = -\mathrm{wt}(v_{-2}), \\ &\mathrm{wt}(v_3) = 2\varpi_1 - \varpi_2 = -\mathrm{wt}(v_{-3}), && \mathrm{wt}(v_0) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

(4.5) **Remarks:** (i) The realization of G in $\mathrm{GL}_7(\Bbbk)$ as above holds, of course, over any field $\Bbbk$.

(ii) The ambient space V as a G-module affords $\nabla(\varpi_1)$, which remains simple over any field of odd characteristic. In characteristic 2, however, G stabilizes $\Bbbk v_0$, and hence V is rather isomorphic to the Weyl module $\Delta(\varpi_1)$ over any field. By a base change and modulo sign changes in the Chevalley basis the presentation of the root subgroups coincides with the one given in [Te, p. 43].

(4.6) Let B' be the Borel subgroup of G' consisting of lower triangular matrices. By the unicity of parabolic subgroups the stabilizer in G' (resp. G) of the line $\Bbbk v_{-1}$ is the standard parabolic subgroup $P'_{\{\alpha'_2,\alpha'_3\}}$ (resp. P_{α_2}) of G' (resp. G). One thus obtains an injective morphism $\phi : G/P_{\alpha_2} \to G'/P'_{\{\alpha_2,\alpha_3\}}$. If B^+ (resp. B'^+) is the Borel subgroup of G (resp. G') opposite to B (resp. B'), using $\mathrm{d}(\eta'^{-1}) : \mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$, one sees that ϕ induces an isomorphism $B^+P_{\alpha_2}/P_{\alpha_2} \to B'^+P'_{\{\alpha_2,\alpha_3\}}/P'_{\{\alpha_2,\alpha_3\}}$. As the latter is open in $G'/P'_{\{\alpha_2,\alpha_3\}}$ and as G/P_{α_2} is complete, ϕ must itself be an isomorphism. Likewise the stabilizer of $\Bbbk v_{-2} \oplus \Bbbk v_{-1}$ in G is $P = P_{\alpha_1}$. Thus

Corollary: *There is an isomorphism of varieties* $G/P_{\alpha_2} \simeq G'/P'_{\{\alpha_2,\alpha_3\}}$ *and a closed imbedding* $G/P \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(2, 7)$.

References

[AbK] Abe, N. and Kaneda, M., *On the structure of parabolically induced G_1T-Verma modules*, JIM Jussieu **14** Issue 01 (2015), 185-220

[A86] Andersen, H.H., *An inversion formula for the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for affine Weyl groups*, Adv. Math. **60** (1986), 125-153

[AK89] Andersen, H.H. and Kaneda M., *Loewy series of modules for the first Frobenius kernel in a reductive algebraic group*, Proc. LMS (3) **59** (1989), 74–98

[AK00] Andersen, H.H. and Kaneda M., *On the D-affinity of the flag variety in type B_2*, Manuscripta Math. **103** (2000), no. 3, 393–399

[Ber] Berthelot, P., *$\mathcal{D}$-modules arithmétiques I. Opérateurs différentiels de niveau fini*, Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. **29** (1996), 185-272.

[BMR] Bezrukavnikov, R., Mirkovic, I. and Rumynin, D., *Singular localization and intertwining functors for reductive Lie algebras in prime characteristic*, Nagoya Math. J. **184** (2006), 1–55

[Ca] Carter, R., Simple Groups of Lie Type, London etc. 1972 (Wiley)

[DG] Donkin, S. and Geranios, H., *The cohomology of line bundles for the symplectic group of degree 4 in characteristic 2*, unpublished manuscript

[GK] Gros, M. and Kaneda, M., *Contraction par Frobenius et modules de Steinberg*, preprint

[EGA] Grothendieck, A. and Dieudonné, J., "Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV", Pub. Math. no. 24, IHES 1965.

[Haa] Haastert, B., *Über Differentialoperatoren und $\mathbb{D}$-Moduln in positiver Charakteristik*, Manusc. Math. 58 (1987), 385–415

[HKR] Hashimoto Y., Kaneda M. and Rumynin, D., *On localization of $\bar{D}$-modules*, in "Representations of Algebraic Groups, Quantum Groups, and Lie Algebras," Contemp. Math. 413 (2006), 43-62

[Hé] Hée, *Groupes de Chevalley et groupes classiques*, Seminar on finite groups, Vol. II, 1-54, Publ. Math. Univ. Paris VII, 17, Univ. Paris VII, Paris, 1984

[J] Jantzen, J. C., Representations of Algebraic Groups, 2003 (American Math. Soc.)

[KY07] Kaneda M. and Ye, J., *Equivariant localization of $\bar{D}$-modules on the flag variety of the symplectic group of degree 4*, J. Alg. **309** (2007), 236–281

[KY] Kaneda M. and Ye J.-C., *Some observatons on Karoubian complete strongly exceptional posets on the projective homogeneous varieties*, arXiv:0911.2568v1 [math.RT]

[K09] Kaneda M., *The structure of Humphreys-Verm modules for projective spaces*, J. Alg. **322** (2009), Pages 237-244

[K14] Kaneda, M.,*Exceptional collections of sheaves on quadrics in positive characteristic*, São Paulo Journal of Mathematical Sciences **8** (2014), 117-156

[K17] Kaneda, M, *Another strongly exceptional collection of coherent sheaves on a Grassmannian*, Journal of Algebra 473 (2017) 352-373

[KaLa] Kashiwara M. and Lauritzen, N., *Local cohomology and $\mathcal{D}$-affinity in positive characteristic*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser I **335** (2002), 993-996.

[La] Langer, A., *D-affinity and Frobenius morphism on quadrics*, IMRN (2008), rnm 145

[St] Steinberg, R., Lectures on Chevalley Groups, 2017 (AMS)

[T] Tanisaki, T., *Lie Algebras and Quantum Groups (in Japanese)* , 2002 ()

[Te] Testerman, D. M., Irreducible subgroups of exceptional algebraic groups, Memo **75**, No. 390, 1988 (AMS)